

EVALUATION SHEET

CUADERNOS DE INVESTIGACIÓN EDUCATIVA

Publication requirements

- About the Journal: <https://revistas.ort.edu.uy/cuadernos-de-investigacion-educativa/about>
- Author Guidelines: <https://revistas.ort.edu.uy/cuadernos-de-investigacion-educativa/about/submissions>

Code	
Title	

To the Editorial Team	COMPLIES YES/NO
The article does not exceed 8,500 words.	
Include the titles, abstracts, and keywords in all three languages (Spanish, English, and Portuguese).	
The titles do not exceed the maximum length of 12 words.	
The abstracts have between 200 and 250 words.	
There are 5 to 10 keywords listed, separated by commas.	
Tables and figures are presented in editable format (not as images).	
Citations and bibliographic references adhere to the 7th edition of APA.	
The work does not infringe on the copyright of third parties and is free from plagiarism and self-plagiarism.	

Comments:

(insert comments here, if any)

For Reviewers: Initial criteria for approving or rejecting an article	YES/NO/ PARTIALLY
The topic is relevant and constitutes a significant contribution to the field of educational research.	
It presents a well-structured, coherent, and easily understandable text for readers.	
It thoroughly reviews the study topic, including up-to-date, original, and essential references from regional and international sources.	
It has a consistent, solid methodology appropriate to the stated objectives.	
The results and conclusions are relevant and compelling.	

Note: If the option "NO" was mostly selected in the previous table, it is suggested to reject the article. In that case, it is recommended not to continue evaluating the rest of the form.

ASPECTS TO EVALUATE

If you believe that the article has potential for publication, please review the following aspects:

1. The titles and subtitles are clear, concise and accurately describe the topic to be developed.

YES/NO (select one option)

Comments: (if any, insert comments or suggestions for improvement)

2. The keywords are appropriate, precise, and clearly reflect the article's topic.

YES/NO

Comments:

3. The abstracts follow the IMRD format (Introduction, Methodology, Results, and Discussion or Conclusions) and cover the most relevant aspects to be developed in the article.

YES/NO

Comments:

4. The writing is easily understandable; it does not contain spelling or syntactic errors.

YES/NO

Comments:

5. The statements are based on properly identified bibliographic sources or evidence compiled by the authors.

YES/NO

Comments:

6. The terminology, concepts used, and literature review are appropriate and relevant to the topic being addressed.

YES/NO

Comments:

7. In-text citations and bibliographic references adhere to the latest edition of APA.

YES/NO

Comments:

8. The tables and figures (if any) appropriately illustrate the topic being developed and have proper correlation with the text.

YES/NO

Comments:

9. Is there any particular section of the article that needs to be modified?

a) INTRODUCTION

YES/NO

Comments:

b) PROBLEM STATEMENT OR OBJECT OF STUDY

YES/NO

Comments:

c) JUSTIFICATION

YES/NO

Comments:

d) METHODOLOGY

YES/NO

Comments:

e) RESULTS

YES/NO

Comments:

f) DISCUSSION

YES/NO

Comments:

g) CONCLUSIONS

YES/NO

Comments:

OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE ARTICLE

(In case there are any, provide comments on the article, suggestions for revision or improvement, or reasons for rejecting the work)

Final recommendation	(mark with a cross, as appropriate)
Accept and publish in its current form	
Publish after revisions	
Reject and do not publish	